Overview of written request for clarification from the Audit Committee Independent person, Lindy Jansen van Vuuren received on 14th February, following the Audit Committee on the 25th January 2024



L	indy's Jansen van Vuuren concerns	Risk Team response
1.	The Somerset Risk Management User manual requires 3	The risk register held within JCAD, holds all three scores, but
	levels of risk scores to be reported and is indicated as	they are not reflected in the template through JCAD. The
	mandatory: Inherent risk / uncontrolled worst-case score,	template will need to be updated but an interim register will be
	Current risk score and Desired risk score	presented to Audit Committee to reflect the additional
	(acceptable/tolerable). Only 2 risk assessment scores appear	information on the register. This can be seen as Appendix One,
	on the report. All 3 should be disclosed	under the strategic risk management update for the 28 th March
		committee
2.	Risk 57, 62, 70 - Inherent risk and current risk remains the	It is recognised, specifically for ORG0057, that the current
	same, implying that controls listed is not adequate and / or	controls are not working to deliver mitigation for this risk.
	effective. Existing controls should be re-evaluated to ensure it	However, taking into consideration the wider financial challenges
	is adequate and effective to mitigate risk.	that the Council faces, it will take time to work through into the
		mitigation that will ultimately look to reduce this risk.
		Please note that there is a need to review all strategic risks for
		the new financial year and as a result of that review, the controls
		will also be reviewed and considered, including the strength of
		the control to reduce the risk
3	Each risk has a last review date and the next review date.	The risk owner will receive regular reminders from JCAD that a
	Page 20 risk ORG0009 Protecting children's current risk	review of a risk is required. The risk management team are now
	score is high. The difference in the review date is almost 4	working more closely with service directors and using these
	months. According to the Somerset Risk Management User	meeting to identify the areas that they need to work on,

	manual, high current risks should be reviewed monthly.	including the review of risks, controls and action and the
		ongoing review of the scores
4.	The dates on the controls in progress on all the risks states,	There is a review date for each control, the JCAD diary is
	'review date' and should most probably read 'target	triggered by this date with reminders sent to reactive action
	implementation date'.	owners when reviews are due or overdue. The target completion
		date is the date by when the reactive action should be complete
		and should therefore have a positive impact on the current risk
		score. Target completion dates can be several months away, so
		previous committee members requested that the important date
		for reports was the 'review date' as this shows when a risk /
		action is overdue review and by how long, indicating that the
		action and therefore the risk, are not being managed within
		agreed time limits.
		Target completion date is part of the record fields that require
		completion in JCAD but is a field that is often missed by officers.
		As part of our reviews with service directors we will be picking up
		this missing date, but this will take time. We have created an
		interim risk report template that includes the Target Completion
		date where known. (appendix 1)
5.	Page 5 - H&S - Control measure - ORG0062/001 - SWAP	The action ORG0062/001 was reviewed by the action owner on
	internal audit into H & S culture.	the 6 th December 2023. The diary in JCAD automatically sets
Т	he review date on the control on the 26 October 2023 report	the review diary forward one month so the next review was set
w	as 6 November 2023. The review date now changed to 6	with the review taking place by the risk owner on 24 th January
J	anuary 2024.	2024. The action owner's comments were printed on the risk
		report presented to committee. Whilst the interim risk report is
		in use, we will not be able to report to this level of detail.

6. Changes in the target dates should be noted in the risk report and reasons given.

Until owners consistently use the field 'target date for completion' this information will not be available for all actions. We will amend the Risk Management Framework, at its next review, to make this point clearer.

7. Page 10 Risk Org 2020 - Resilience (HR capacity)
Controlled risk assessment = 10 - green very low risk, however it should be yellow and a medium risk. Will this be updated when the Risk Management policy is reviewed and corrected?

The score shown on the report is correct. There are two possible scores that result in a '10'

- 1. 5x2 (likelihood = very likely x Impact = critical)
- 2. 2x5 (Likelihood = slight x 5 impact critical).

The risk team have commenced work to review the current risk matrix which has been in place since its last review in 2019, working with Corporate Leadership Team and Statutory Officers Board to determine how the risk matrix needs to change to reflect the changes to the Council over the last year. The risk team aim to have this work completed by end of quarter 2.

- 8. The following risks have no existing controls listed; however, the Current risk is lower than the Inherent risk which assumes there must be effective and efficient controls in place. All controls listed are in progress, yet the controlled risk is decreased from a very high risk of 20 to 10? No existing / implemented controls listed so how does the controlled risk decrease?
 - Page 10 Risk Org 2020 Resilience (HR capacity)
 - Page 12 ORG0065 workforce The inherent risk reduces from 20 to a 12 controlled risk, however no existing controls listed.

The risk management team are now working more closely with service directors and using these meetings to identify the areas that they need to work on, including the review of risks, controls and action and the ongoing review of the scores.

Please note that there is a need to review all strategic risks for 2024/25 and as a result of that review, the controls will also be reviewed and considered, including the strength of the control to reduce the risk.

Page 16 ORG0063 Commercial investments - The	
inherent risk reduces from 16 to a 9 controlled risk,	
however no existing controls listed.	
 Page 17 ORG0064 Housing - The inherent risk reduces 	
from 16 to a 12 controlled risk, however no existing	
controls listed.	
 Page 25 ORG0081 Funding cuts - The inherent risk 	
reduces from 15 to a 9 controlled risk, however no	
existing controls listed.	
Page 28 ORG0066 Financial instability - The inherent	
risk reduces from 12 to a 6 controlled risk, however no	
existing controls listed.	
Page 31 ORG0075 LCN - The inherent risk reduces from	
12 to a 6 controlled risk, however no existing controls	
listed.	
9. Page 15 ORG0061 Climate change - explanations should be	As above
provided for the overdue controls.	
10. Page 17 ORG0064 Housing and page 25 ORG0081 Funding	The risk owner for this particular risk has been made aware of
cuts - There are no existing or in progress (reactive) controls	the lack of controls for this particular risk as has the relevant
listed. Controls need to be designed and implemented to	Executive Director.
manage risks to an acceptable level.	This will be picked up as part of the review of the strategic risks
	for 24/25 as well and from this review, the team will ensure that
	relevant controls are added.
11. The following risks do not have consequences of risks	Noted and will be considered either as part of the service
documented:	directors reviews or the strategic risk review
Page 18 ORG0068 - Fraud risk	

The following risks do not have causes of risks documented:	As above
Page 14 ORG0061 - Climate change	
12. Not all the controls have review dates and or control review	Noted
updates, to be included.	